

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,167	09/10/2003	Wen-Chung Chu	17022	1521
23389 75	90 02/22/2006	•	EXAMINER	
	OTT MURPHY & PRE	WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
400 GARDEN SUITE 300	CITY PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			1711	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ź				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/662,167	CHU ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>				
	Ana L. Woodward	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-						
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.  Diance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, filed within two month	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	,						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	and the second s						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <a href="mailto:none">none</a> . Claim(s) objected to: <a href="mailto:none">none</a> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-6</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper Ņ	lø(s).	/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' assertions that the product of Nikishawa et al is different from that of the present invention is not supported by affidavit or declaration evidence. In any event, the present claims are not limited as regards the sythetic procedure and, as such, embrace that used by Nishikawa et al..